

11
dna/nihgl/76gls/cover

Commentary on file:

^ Just as in the subsequent revisions, we took very seriously what each participant suggested in the original February 1976 DAC public hearings on the GL's, and attempted to revise accordingly. A process again featuring the tireless kitchen-RAC orchestra and its amateur (in a molecular biological sense) director. The public process had begun and we took it very seriously from the start. It was at the end of the February 1976 meeting that (especially after the comment of Judge Bazelon) we decided to keep a complete record of the guideline proceedings, including every letter received--and the answers in the Federal Register. *

I must say that despite all the hail that came down from Mount Dissent, we were never directly accused of slighting any comment, of simply ignoring the correspondent. All were heard, discussed and answered, although sometimes there had to be categorical or generic replies to cover redundancies. ^

DSF 6/88